T.E.M.P.O Tree Evaluation Sheet

Evaluation by: Gaun Bayes 10/7/2020 Address/Site Details: D M Ref S 282 Silver Birch 4XSILUBIA 300 oak & paxim Species 8 8 8 (mm) DBH a - Condition | b - Longevity W (N) S OT £ **Amenity Assessment** c - Visibility F t F F W Sub 0 Trees must have accrued 7+ points (& no zeros) to qualify Sheet No. d - other factors F Exped 4 iency p of Score 0 6 Y/N? TPO Appendix 3 **Notes**

a) Condition
5) Good (highly suitable)
3) Fair (suitable)
1) Poor (unlikely)
0) Unsafe
0) Dead

c) Relative public visibility

5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent features (V Ige=200sqm+)
4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public (Ige=100-200sqm)
3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only (Suitable, med=25-100sqm)
2) Small trees, or larger ones visible only with difficulty (Unlikely, small = 5-25sqm)
1) Young/v.small or not publicly visible regardless of size (prob unsuitable, <5sqm)

5) Known threat to tree3) Foreseeable threat to tree2) Perceived threat to tree

Foreseeable threat to tree

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Part 1: Amenity assessment

b) Longevity
5) 100+
4) 40 - 100
2) 20 - 40 (sui
1) 10 - 20 (jus
0) <10 (uns

<u> 5</u> 0

Other factors

Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees

Any 0

Do not apply TPO TPO indefensible

Part 3: Decision guide

Known as an actionable nuisance

Precautionary only

Possibly merits TPO Definitely merits TPO

Does not merit TPO

Members of groups of trees that are important for their cohesion

Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual

Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features Trees with significant historical or commemorative importance

10 - 20 (just suitable) 20 - 40 (suitable)

(unsuitable)